



Buildings for Mission Rating system

In the case that applications for grant funding exceed the available funds the following rating systems will be applied.

Funding for Repairs

Urgency of work

Points to be awarded based on the urgency of the work. The following categories from the quinquennial inspection report (taking into account time elapsed since the inspection) can be used for guidance:

- Immediate attention – 3 points
- Within 2 years – 2 points
- Within QI period – 1 point

Financial status

Points should be awarded for financial vulnerability. The following can be used as guidance:

- Financially vulnerable and will be unable to carry out the work without grant assistance – 3 points
- Could afford the work without grant assistance, but it would potentially leave the church's finances in a vulnerable state – 2 points
- Can afford the work without it leaving the church's finances in a vulnerable position – 1 point

Priority repair

One additional point should be added for applications for minor roof repairs, repairs to rainwater goods and re-pointing, as well as, investigative reports recommended by quinquennial inspection reports.

One additional point should be added for repairs, which will stave off a bigger repair if left unattended.

One additional point should be added for churches on the Heritage at Risk register.

In the case of a tie on points, the committee will consider the safe use of the building, Heritage at Risk and urgency of the repair to come to a final decision.



Buildings for Mission Rating system

Funding for Improvements

Missional potential

Points should be awarded for the missional potential of the proposed improvement. The following can be used as guidance:

Very likely to improve the missional potential of the church – 3 points

Somewhat likely to improve the missional potential of the church – 2 points

Potential to improve the mission of the church unlikely or unclear – 1 point

Financial status

Points should be awarded for financial vulnerability. The following can be used as guidance:

- Financially vulnerable and will be unable to carry out the work without grant assistance – 3 points
- Could afford the work without grant assistance, but it would potentially leave the church's finances in a vulnerable state – 2 points
- Can afford the work without it leaving the church's finances in a vulnerable position – 1 point

Priority improvement

An additional point should be awarded to projects that improve climate resilience, for example, increasing the capacity of rainwater goods, and projects that improve both digital and physical accessibility.